



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/734,490

12/11/2003

Mark Zdeblick

PRTS-012

1638

61487

7590

12/18/2008

Proteus Biomedical, Inc.

Bozicevic, Field & Francis LLP

1900 University Avenue, Suite 200

East Palo Alto, CA 94303

EXAMINER

NASSER, ROBERT L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3735

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/18/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/734,490	Applicant(s) ZDEBLICK ET AL.	
	Examiner ROBERT L. NASSER	Art Unit 3735	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT L. NASSER. (3) Mark Zdeblick .

(2) Brett Field. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 48.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hrdlicka.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant proposed adding claim 98 (a/d converter on the chip) into claim 48. Applicant provided reasons why it would not have been obvious to use such an a/d converter in Hrdlicka. The examiner tentatively agreed that the changes overcome the rejection, pending a more detailed review of the reference. The examiner further indicated that an update search would be required. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Robert L. Nasser Jr/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3735	
--	--